PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the: INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY					
To:			PCT		
James & Wells			101		
9th Floor					
6B Cawley Street			TEN OPINION OF THE		
Ellerslie		INTERNATION	IAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
Auckland NEW ZEALAND		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
		Date of mailing			
		(day/month/year)	1 0 FEB 2005		
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTI	ON ee paragraph 2 below		
230320PCX352 International application No.	International Cline det				
PCT/NZ2004/000269	International filing date 28 October 2004	Į.	Priority date (day/month/year) 28 October 2003		
International Patent Classification (IPC) or I			28 October 2003		
Int. Cl. ⁷ B23B 45/02, B25B 21/00, I					
Applicant					
IBEX INDUSTRIES LIMITED	et al				
This opinion contains indications relations.	ing to the following ite	eme.			
X Box No. I Basis of the opinion	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Box No. II Priority	•				
<u>'</u>	of oninion with regard to	novelty inventive step an	d industrial applicability		
X Box No. V Reasoned statement	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;				
1 —	citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited				
Box No. VII Certain defects in the					
2. FURTHER ACTION					
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form					
PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/AU Authorized Officer					
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO POY 200 WODEN, ACT, 2606, AUSTRALIA LASON PREMINATURE			DVV		
PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au		JASON PREMNATH			
Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929		Telephone No. (02) 6283 2127			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/NZ2004/000269

Box	No. I	Basis of the opinion
	With regard which it was	to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in sfiled, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	the foll	pinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into lowing language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of tional search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.	With regard claimed inve	to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the ention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of m	naterial
	as	sequence listing
	tal	ble(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format of	f material
	in	written format
	in	computer readable form
	c. time of fi	iling/furnishing
	co	ntained in the international application as filed.
	file	ed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	fur	rnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	mea or	tion, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that pplication as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Additional co	omments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/NZ2004/000269

· -	x No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
1.	In re	esponse to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has:
		paid additional fees
		paid additional fees under protest
		not paid additional fees
2.	X This appl	Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the icant to pay additional fees.
3.	This Author	rity considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is
	com	plied with
	not o	complied with for the following reasons:
	1. Claim 1 r technical fe	relates to a hand tool. In claim 1 it is considered that "brushless DC motor" comprises the first special ature
	2. Claims 2, and ducting	, 4 - 44 relate to a hand tool. In claims 2, 4 - 44 it is considered that "fluid inlet port, fluid outlet port means" comprises the second special technical feature
	Since these between the concept.	e groups of claims do not share any of the special technical features identified, a technical relationship e inventions does not exist. Accordingly the claims do not relate to one invention or to a single inventive
4.	This opinion	has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:
	X all p	arts
	the p	parts relating to claims Nos.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/NZ2004/000269

vx No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims	YES
	Claims 1 - 44	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	YES
	Claims 1 - 44	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1 - 44	YES
	Claims	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Novelty (N) and Inventive Step (IS)

The invention is not novel and is not inventive when compared with one of the following documents mentioned in the International Search Report.

- D1) US 2003/0121685 (claim 1)
- D2) US 2003/0196824 (claim 1)
- D3) US 6127751(claim 2 44)
- D4) US 6543549 (claim 2 44)

The use of a brushless motor in a hand tool is considered to be very common and considered to lack novelty and inventive step. Further D1 (see abstract) and D2 (see para 0064) disclose all the essential features of the invention claimed in claim 1 in particular a hand tool comprising a brushless DC motor.

D3 discloses all the essential features of the invention claimed in claim 2. D3 discloses a hand tool comprising:

- a) a body (1)
- b) a motor (11)
- c) a void space (4, see the figure) between the motor and the body
- d) a fluid inlet port (5, 6) and a fluid outlet port (8)
- e) a ducting means (3)

Similarly D4 also discloses all the essential features of claim 2.

The additional features mentioned in the claims 3 - 44 are either disclosed in the documents D3 and D4 mentioned above or are considered to be inessential features which do not significantly contribute to the working of the invention.

Accordingly claims 1 – 44 are not novel and considered to lack an inventive step.